

PUBLIC REVIEW DRAFT | MAY 2018



Canyon City Business Center Project

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT



Prepared for:
City of Azusa

Prepared by:



**PUBLIC REVIEW DRAFT
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT**

Canyon City Business Center Project

SCH NO. 2018021059

Lead Agency:



CITY OF AZUSA

213 East Foothill Boulevard
Azusa, California 91702

Contact: Mr. Edson Ibañez,
Assistant Planner
626.812.5289
eibanez@ci.azusa.ca.us

Prepared by:

MICHAEL BAKER INTERNATIONAL

5 Hutton Centre, Suite 500
Santa Ana, California 92707

Contact: Mr. Eddie Torres
949.472.3505

May 17, 2018

JN 163170

This document is designed for double-sided printing to conserve natural resources.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section 1.0:	Executive Summary.....	1-1
	1.1 Project Location	1-1
	1.2 Project Summary	1-1
	1.3 Project Goals/Objectives.....	1-1
	1.4 Environmental Issues/Mitigation Summary	1-3
	1.5 Significant and Unavoidable Impacts.....	1-20
	1.6 Summary of Project Alternatives	1-20
Section 2.0:	Introduction and Purpose	2-1
	2.1 Purpose of the EIR.....	2-1
	2.2 Compliance with CEQA	2-2
	2.3 Notice of Preparation/Early Consultation (Scoping).....	2-3
	2.4 Format of the EIR.....	2-4
	2.5 Responsible and Trustee Agencies	2-5
	2.6 Incorporation by Reference	2-6
Section 3.0:	Project Description	3-1
	3.1 Project Location and Setting.....	3-1
	3.2 Background and History	3-4
	3.3 Project Characteristics	3-4
	3.4 Goals and Objectives.....	3-10
	3.5 Phasing/Construction.....	3-11
	3.6 Agreements, Permits, and Approvals	3-11
Section 4.0:	Basis of Cumulative Analysis	4-1
Section 5.0:	Environmental Analysis.....	5-1
	5.1 Land Use and Relevant Planning.....	5.1-1
	5.2 Aesthetics/Light and Glare.....	5.2-1
	5.3 Biological Resources	5.3-1
	5.4 Tribal and Cultural Resources	5.4-1
	5.5 Geology and Soils.....	5.5-1
	5.6 Hydrology and Water Quality.....	5.6-1
	5.7 Hazards and Hazardous Materials	5.7-1
	5.8 Traffic and Circulation.....	5.8-1
	5.9 Air Quality	5.9-1
	5.10 Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	5.10-1
	5.11 Noise	5.11-1
	5.12 Public Services and Utilities	5.12-1
Section 6.0:	Other CEQA Considerations	6-1
	6.1 Long-Term Implications of the Project.....	6-1
	6.2 Irreversible Environmental Changes That Would Occur If The Project Is Implemented.....	6-1
	6.3 Growth-Inducing Impacts	6-3
	6.4 Energy Conservation	6-10



Section 7.0: Alternatives to the Proposed Project..... 7-1

- 7.1 Summary of Project Objectives..... 7-2
- 7.2 Summary of Significant Impacts 7-3
- 7.3 Alternatives Considered But Rejected..... 7-3
- 7.4 “No Project” Alternative..... 7-5
- 7.5 “Reduced Density Option One” Alternative 7-9
- 7.6 “Reduced Density Option Two” Alternative..... 7-14
- 7.7 “Environmentally Superior” Alternative 7-20

Section 8.0: Effects Found Not To Be Significant 8-1

Section 9.0: Organizations and Persons Consulted..... 9-1

Section 10.0: Bibliography 10-1

Section 11.0: Appendices (under separate cover and contained on CD)

- 11.1 Notice of Preparation and Comment Letters
- 11.2 Habitat Evaluation
- 11.3 Cultural/Paleontological Resources Assessment
- 11.4 Geotechnical Study
- 11.5 Hydrology and Water Quality Data
- 11.6 Phase I Environmental Site Assessment
- 11.7 Traffic Impact Analysis
- 11.8 Air Quality, Health Risk, and Greenhouse Gas Analyses
- 11.9 Noise Study



LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit 3-1	Regional Vicinity	3-2
Exhibit 3-2	Site Vicinity	3-3
Exhibit 3-3a	Warehouse Only Option.....	3-6
Exhibit 3-3b	Warehouse/Manufacturing Option	3-7
Exhibit 3-4	Landscape Plan.....	3-9
Exhibit 4-1	Cumulative Projects Map.....	4-5
Exhibit 5.2-1	Existing Condition Photographs	5.2-2
Exhibit 5.2-2	Building 1 Elevations	5.2-9
Exhibit 5.2-3	Building 5 Elevations	5.2-10
Exhibit 5.5-1	Regional Fault Map.....	5.5-3
Exhibit 5.5-2	Location of Upper Duarte Fault	5.5-4
Exhibit 5.5-3	Seismic Hazard and Alquist-Priolo Zone Map	5.5-5
Exhibit 5.6-1	Existing Drainage Conditions	5.6-2
Exhibit 5.6-2	Proposed Drainage Conditions	5.6-21
Exhibit 5.8-1	Study Area Intersection Locations	5.8-3
Exhibit 5.8-2	Truck Access.....	5.8-36
Exhibit 5.11-1	Sound Levels and Human Response	5.11-2
Exhibit 5.11-2	Noise Measurement Locations	5.11-7



LIST OF TABLES

Table 3-1	Land Use Comparison Between Development Options.....	3-5
Table 3-2	Building Areas – Warehouse Only Option.....	3-5
Table 3-3	Building Areas – Warehouse and Manufacturing Option.....	3-8
Table 4-1	Cumulative Projects List.....	4-2
Table 5.1-1	General Plan Policy Consistency Analysis – Warehouse Only Option.....	5.1-10
Table 5.1-2	General Plan Policy Consistency Analysis – Warehousing and Manufacturing Option ..	5.1-17
Table 5.1-3	Development Regulations Consistency – Warehouse Only Option.....	5.1-19
Table 5.1-4	Development Regulations Consistency – Warehousing and Manufacturing Option.....	5.1-20
Table 5.3-1	Special-Status Plant Species Known in the Site Vicinity	5.3-3
Table 5.3-2	Special-Status Wildlife Species Known in the Site Vicinity.....	5.3-4
Table 5.4-1	Archaeological Sites Located Within One Mile of the Site.....	5.4-4
Table 5.6-1	Existing Impaired Watershed Reaches	5.6-10
Table 5.8-1	Roadway Level of Service Definitions.....	5.8-6
Table 5.8-2	Intersection Capacity Utilization LOS Definitions	5.8-7
Table 5.8-3	Signalized Intersection HCM 2010 LOS Thresholds.....	5.8-7
Table 5.8-4	Unsignalized Intersection LOS Thresholds.....	5.8-8
Table 5.8-5	Existing Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service	5.8-9
Table 5.8-6	Project Trip Generation Rates – Warehouse Only Option	5.8-18
Table 5.8-7	Proposed Project Daily Trip Generation – Warehouse Only Option	5.8-19
Table 5.8-8	Existing With Project Peak Hour Intersection Analysis – Warehouse Only Option.....	5.8-21
Table 5.8-9	Future Opening Year Peak Hour Intersection Analysis – Warehouse Only Option	5.8-24
Table 5.8-10	Project Trip Generation Rates – Warehousing and Manufacturing Option.....	5.8-27
Table 5.8-11	Proposed Project Daily Trip Generation – Warehousing and Manufacturing Option	5.8-28
Table 5.8-12	Existing With Project Peak Hour Intersection Analysis – Warehousing and Manufacturing Option.....	5.8-30



Table 5.8-13	Future Opening Year Peak Hour Intersection Analysis – Warehousing and Manufacturing Option	5.8-33
Table 5.9-1	Azusa Station Air Quality Monitoring Summary 2014-2016	5.9-3
Table 5.9-2	National and California Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	5.9-7
Table 5.9-3	SCAQMD Regional Pollutant Emission Thresholds of Significance	5.9-10
Table 5.9-4	Maximum Daily Peak Construction Emissions	5.9-14
Table 5.9-5	Long-Term Operational Air Emissions – Warehouse Only Option.....	5.9-19
Table 5.9-6	Long-Term Operational Air Emissions – Warehousing and Manufacturing Option	5.9-20
Table 5.9-7	Maximum Daily Disturbed Acreage	5.9-23
Table 5.9-8	Construction Localized Significance Emissions Summary	5.9-23
Table 5.9-9	Operational Localized Significance Emissions Summary – Warehouse Only Option.....	5.9-24
Table 5.9-10	Health Risk Assessment Summary – Warehouse Only Option	5.9-26
Table 5.9-11	Operational Localized Significance Emissions Summary – Warehousing and Manufacturing Option	5.9-27
Table 5.10-1	Projected Annual Greenhouse Gas Emissions – Warehouse Only Option	5.10-12
Table 5.10-2	Projected Annual Greenhouse Gas Emissions – Warehousing and Manufacturing Option	5.10-14
Table 5.10-3	Scoping Plan Consistency Analysis.....	5.10-16
Table 5.11-1	Noise Descriptors	5.11-3
Table 5.11-2	Sensitive Receptors	5.11-5
Table 5.11-3	24-Hour Ambient Noise Level Measurements	5.11-6
Table 5.11-4	Existing Traffic Noise Levels.....	5.11-9
Table 5.11-5	Land Use Compatibility for Community Noise Environments.....	5.11-10
Table 5.11-6	Operational Noise Standards	5.11-14
Table 5.11-7	Construction Noise Standards.....	5.11-14
Table 5.11-8	Significance Criteria Summary	5.11-15
Table 5.11-9	Construction Reference Noise Levels	5.11-16
Table 5.11-10	Construction Equipment Noise Level Summary.....	5.11-17



Table 5.11-11	Construction Equipment Noise Level Compliance	5.11-17
Table 5.11-12	Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment.....	5.11-19
Table 5.11-13	Construction Equipment Vibration Levels	5.11-20
Table 5.11-14	Future Traffic Noise Levels – Warehouse Only Option.....	5.11-22
Table 5.11-15	Future Traffic Noise Levels – Warehouse and Manufacturing Option.....	5.11-23
Table 5.11-16	Reference Noise Level Measurements	5.11-24
Table 5.11-17	Operational Noise Levels	5.11-25
Table 5.11-18	Project Daytime Noise Level Contributions	5.11-26
Table 5.11-19	Project Nighttime Noise Level Contributions	5.11-26
Table 5.12-1	Landfills Summary	5.12-5
Table 6-1	Population Estimates.....	6-5
Table 6-2	Housing Estimates.....	6-6
Table 6-3	Proposed Project Compared to General Plan Growth Forecasts.....	6-8
Table 6-4	Proposed Project Compared to SCAG Growth Forecasts.....	6-9
Table 6-5	Electricity Consumption in Los Angeles County 2007-2016	6-12
Table 6-6	Natural Gas Consumption in Los Angeles County 2007-2016.....	6-13
Table 6-7	Automotive Fuel Consumption in Los Angeles County 2007-2017	6-13
Table 6-8	Energy Consumption	6-16
Table 7-1	Comparison of Proposed Project and Reduced Density Option One Alternative Buildout.....	7-10
Table 7-2	Comparison of Proposed Project and Reduced Density Option Two Alternative Buildout	7-15
Table 7-3	Comparison of Alternatives	7-21



DRAFT EIR AND APPENDICES ON CD



This page intentionally left blank.